

File No. X-18/12/2024-SPN-II
Government of India
Ministry of Communications
Department of Posts
(Personnel Division)

Dak Bhawan, New Sansad Marg,
New Delhi – 110001
Dated 20-06-2025

To,
All Heads of Circles,

Subject: Forwarding of common order dated 27.02.2025 of Hon'ble CAT, Hyderabad Bench in OA No. 317 of 2018 and other connected cases in the case of cases filed by Reserved Trained Pool personnel for counting of their RTP service before their regular appointment as Postal Assistant/Sorting Assistant, for purpose of various service matter.

Sir/Madam,

I am directed to say that Directorate vide letter No. X-18/12/2024-SPN-II dated 18.11.2024 and 20.01.2025 has circulated the available previous judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court/High Court/Tribunal given the favour of Department with request to contest/defend the cases filed by the RTP personnel seeking various claim for the service rendered by them as RTP personnel before their regular appointment.

2. Now, recently on 27.02.2025, Hon'ble CAT, Hyderabad has also dismissed the 250 OAs filed by the RTP personnel claiming to count RTP service, for service benefits. RTP Personnel had claimed their demand by relying on order dated 27.02.2023 of Hon'ble High Court of Hyderabad in WP No. 17400 and 17425/2016. While dismissing of these OAs Hon'ble CAT has made following observations:

- i. RTP Personnel had claimed their demand by relying on order dated 27.02.2023 of Hon'ble High Court of Hyderabad in WP No. 17400 and 17425/2016 against which SLP filed by the Department was dismissed by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India while keeping the question of law open. While dismissing of these OAs Hon'ble CAT has observed that although Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has kept question of law open in referred case, however, Hon'ble Apex Court had already in earlier two judicial judgements decided the question of law and said that all service benefit is to governed as per RTP scheme.
- ii. In its judgment, the Tribunal noted that the applicants had accepted the terms of their absorption without raising any objections at the relevant time and it was only after several decades that the applicants submitted representations seeking the counting of RTP service. The Tribunal held that such belated claims are clearly hit by the principles of delay and laches, and mere submission of representations cannot resurrect a stale claim.

- iii. The Tribunal further held that principle laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Union of India vs. K.N. Sivasdas and connected Civil Appeals are the binding proceedings. wherein, the Apex Court had clearly stated that RTPs were engaged under a separate scheme that did not provide for counting service prior to regular absorption for benefits like eligibility for Limited Departmental Competitive Examination (LDCE), TBOP, or MACP.
- iv. The Tribunal in its order had also relied on the order dated 24.01.2023 and 04.11.2024 of Hon'ble High Court of Madras in Writ Petition No. 13633 of 2020; and WP No. 1373/2021 and WMP No. 1545/2021 respectively where the High Court set aside similar orders passed by the Chennai Bench of the Tribunal. The High Court observed that those decisions had been rendered without due application of mind and failed to consider the implications of allowing claims after such prolonged delay. It was noted that any attempt to reconstruct records or assess break-in service from decades past would lead to administrative impracticability and potential injustice. The High Court stated that such old claims should not be entertained.
- v. The Tribunal has further reiterated that reliefs granted to a few individuals under specific circumstances do not confer an automatic entitlement on all others.
3. In view of the above, all Circles are requested to include the judgement dated 27.02.2025 of Hon'ble CAT, Hyderabad Bench with above facts along with previously circulated judgements in the counter replies. A soft copy of the judgement dated 27.02.2025 is also being circulated to all the Circles via email.

This may be treated as MOST URGENT.

Encl: As above

Yours faithfully,
Digitally signed by
VANGARA PRASAD
Date: 20-06-2025
15:20:09
(Vangara Prasad)
Assistant Director General (SPN)

Copy to: CEPT Team for Portal upload.

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
HYDERABAD BENCH**

OA Nos 27 and 317 of 2018

OA Nos 192 of 2019

OA Nos 1674, 1675, 1682, 1683, 1716, 1717, 1718, 1796, 1798, 1805, 1810, 1811,
1818, 1819, 2001, 2006, 2018, 2079, 2081, 2083, 2085, 2086, 2100, 2107, 2108,
2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2128, 2129, 2131, 2142, 2143,
2145, 2146, 2147, 2154, 2163, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2204, 2206, 2207, 2221, 2223,
2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2326 and 2368 of 2024

OA Nos 7, 8, 9, 15, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 33, 34, 35, 36,
37, 38, 42, 43, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 83, 84, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106,
107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 138,
140, 141, 143, 145, 156 and 175 of 2025,

Dated :Thursday, the 27th day of February Two Thousand Twenty Five

CORAM :

HON'BLE SMT. LATA BASWARAJ PATNE, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE SMT.SHALINI MISRA, MEMBER (A)

OA No.317/2018

K. Anjinidevi W/o K.Durga Siva Prasad, Aged 60 yrs,

Occ: Retd SPM, Mogalrajapuram, Vijayawada, AP.Applicant

(By Advocate Mr. B. Gurudas)

Vs

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt of India,

M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Post, New Delhi-110 001.

2.The Chief Postmaster General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-520013, AP.

3.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-520013, AP.

4.The Sr Supdt of Post Offices, Vijayawada Divn, Vijayawada-520001

AP.

...Respondents

(By Advocate: Mr.K.Ravi Krishnakant, Addl.CGSC)

OA No.27/2018

K.A.Venkateswarlu S/o K.Venkataiah, Aged 55 yrs,

Occ:PA, Kurnool HO, Kurnool Divn., Kurnool-518001,

Kurnool District, AP.

...Applicant

(By Advocate : Mr. B. Gurudas)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,

M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Post, N.Delhi.

2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,

Vijayawada-520001,AP.

3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518001,AP.

4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Kurnool Divn, Kurnool-518002,AP....Respondents
(By Advocate: Mrs.Megha Rani Agarwal, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.192/2019

Shaik Nazeer Ahmed, Gr-C, S/o Shaik Abdul Sultahn Sabab,
Aged 59yrs, Occ: SPM Vemulawada SO, Nandyal Divn., AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. B. Gurudas)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Post, N.Delhi.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520001,AP.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518001,AP.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Nandyal Divn, Nandyal-518 ,AP....Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.Praveen Kumar, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.1674/2024

K.Venugopal Rao S/o K.V.Subba Rao, aged 61 yrs,
Retired from service in the cadre of LSG SPM, ILLURU-Kothapeta,
Residing at 15-139, Kondapeta, Banaganapalle, Nandyal District-
518124, AP.Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.S.Manaswi)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,Vijayawada-501013,
NTR District.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Nandyal-518003.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Nandyal Divn, Nandyal-518502. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.M.Venkata Swamy,Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.1675/2024

Smt.P.J.Dorathi W/o Late N.Tracy Arthur, Aged 65 yrs,
Retired as P.A., Camp S.O., R/o H.No.40-448/C, Gipson
Colony, Kurnool-518001, AP. (By Advocate : Mr.K.S.Manaswi)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,Vijayawada-501013,
NTR District.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool District.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Kurnool Divn, Kurnool-518001. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.P.Krishna, Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.1682/2024

B.Munichandravali Bai S/o B.Narayanarao, Aged 62 yrs,
Retired from service in the cadre of LSG, SPM, Guntakal Market SO,
R/o 17/772-B, Upstairs, Tilak Nagar, Guntakal-515801, AP.Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.S.Manaswi)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,Vijayawada-501013,
NTR District.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool District.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Kurnool Divn, Kurnool-518001. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.K.Ravi Krishnakanth, Addl.CGSC)

OA No.1683/2024

B.Kullayappa S/o B.Veeranna Late, Aged 62 yrs, Retired as SPM,
NR Peta LAG SO Kurnool, R/o 86/424-p-73-a, Kisan Nagar,
Revenue Ward No 86, Doctors Colony, Kurnool, AP.Applicant

Vs.

1. The Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-501013, NTR District.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool District.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Kurnool Divn, Kurnool-518001. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.N.Parameswara Reddy, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.1716/2024

Smt.Y.Umadevi W/o S.Buchibabu, aged 62 yrs,
Retd as LSG SPM, R/o H.No.64/78-C1,S.Nagappa Street,
Kurnool-518001, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Ms.K.S.Manaswi)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,Vijayawada-501013,
NTR District.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool District.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Kurnool Divn, Kurnool-518001. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.D.Satyaveer, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.1717/2024

V.Gopi Krishna S/o Premadas Gupta Late, aged 60 yrs, Retd. PA,
Kurnool HPO, R/o H.No.4-123, F.No.101, Laxman Singh Colony,
SAP Camp, Kurnool-518003, A.P. ...Applicant

(By Advocate : Ms.K.S.Manaswi)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,Vijayawada-501013,
NTR District.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool District.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Kurnool Divn, Kurnool-518001. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.D.Satyaveer, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.1718/2024

B.Khasim Saheb S/o Minalla Saheb, aged 59 yrs,
Working as Postal Asst., Kurnool Camp-B SO, R/o H.No.91/4-22-1-4-6,
Nr SBI ATM, Balaji Nagar, Kurnool-518006, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Ms.K.S.Manaswi)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-501013, NTR District.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool District 518002.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Kurnool Divn, Kurnool-518002. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.A.Vijaya Bhaskar Babu, Addl.CGSC)

OA No.1796/2024

D.Surendra Babu S/o D.Mastan Saheb Late, aged 59 yrs,
Occ:APM(Mails), Kurnool HPO, R/o F.No.G3, Orange Homes,
Venkayapalle, Kurnool-518001, A.P. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Ms.K.S.Manaswi)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,Vijayawada-501013,
NTR District.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool District.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Kurnool Divn, Kurnool-518001.
...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.B.Rajeshwar Rao, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.1798/2024

P.Ramachandrudu S/o Guruvaiah, aged 63 yrs, Retd as APM(SB),
Kurnool HO, R/o 40-30-A6, Part Rd, Medum Compound,
Kurnool-518001, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Ms.K.S.Manaswi)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-501013, NTR District.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool District 518002.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Nandyal Divn, Nandyal-518502. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.V.Venu Madhava Swamy, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.1805/2024

V.Venkateswara Reddy S/o V.Pullu Reddy, Aged 59 yrs,
Working as APM (Mails), Nandyal HO, R/o H.No.28-783-A4,
Opp: OM Shanthi Bhavan, NGO Colony, Nandyal-518502, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.S.Manaswi)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,Vijayawada-501013,
NTR District.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518002.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Nandyal Divn.,-518502. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.B.Siva Sankar, Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.1810/2024

M.Adinarayana S/o M.Subramanyam, Aged 59 yrs,
Workilng as Postal Assistant, Nandyal HO-518501, R/o 28/1052/1/A2,
Vidyanagar, Teachers Colony, Nandyal District-518502,AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mrs.K.S.Manaswi)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-501013,NTR District.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Nandyal Region, Nandyal District.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Nandyal Divn, Nandyal-518502. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mrs.K.Rajitha, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.1811/2024

K.P.Pakirappa S/o K.P.Hussainappa, aged 59 yrs,
Working as Postal Assistant, N.R.Peta (KNL) HSG-II SO,
Kurnool-518004, R/o Flat No.102, D-Bloc (East), Yelukuri
Bungalows, Kurnool-518003, Andhra Pradesh. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : M/s K.S.Manaswi)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi.

2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-501013, NTR District.

3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518002, Kurnool District.

4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Kurnool Divn, Kurnool-518001. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr. G. Rajesham, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.1818/2024

Y.Sreenivasa Reddy S/o Late Narayana Reddy, Aged 60 yrs,
Retired as PA, Kurnool HO, R/o H.No.87-690-2, Kamala Nagar,
B-Camp, Kurnool-518002, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : M/s K.S.Manaswi)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi.

2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-501013, NTR District.

3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518003,Kurnool District.

4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Kurnool Divn, Kurnool-518001. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.M.Venkata Swamy, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.1819/2024

P.Babaiah S/o Late P.Babaiah, aged 66 yrs, Retd as PA,
Ananthapur HPO, R/o H.No.12-4-692, Adarsh Nagar, Ananthapur-
515001. AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Ms.K.S.Manaswi)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi.

2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-501013, NTR District.

3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool District 518002.

4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Ananthapur Divn, Kurnool-518002....Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.N.Parameswara Reddy,Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.2001/2024

L.Suryanarayana Reddy, Gr-C, Aged 64 yrs, Occ:Retd P.A,
S/o Late Narayana Reddy, Koilakuntla LSG S.O, Nandyal Divn.,
Nandyal, AP, H.No.2-10, Pohidoddi Village, Peapully, Nandyal
District, Nandyal-518 502, AP, Mobile:9440289831,
Email:Isnreddy60@gmail.com ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. B. Gurudas)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Post, N.Delhi.

- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520001,AP.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518001,AP.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Nandyal Divn, Nandyal-518002,AP....Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.B.Siva Sankar, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.2006/2024

M.Vasudharamma, Gr-C, Aged 61; yrs, W/o K.V.Mallikarjuna Rao,
Occ: Retd LSG SPM, M.V.Nagar S.O, Adoni, Nandyal Divn., Nandyal,
A.P, H.No.10-610-1-4, Gandhi Nagar, Koilakuntla, Nandyal Districet,
Nandyal-518 502, AP, Mobile:9573337282,
Email:kvmraokkl@gmail.com ...Applicant

(By Advocate : Mr. B. Gurudas)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520001,AP.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518001,AP.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Nandyal Divn, Nandyal-518502,AP....Respondents
(By Advocate: Mrs. M. Satyaveer,Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.2018/2024

D.Phani Raj, Gr-C Aged 62 yrs, S/o D.Eswarsrao, Occ:Retd HSG-i, HRO,
RMS AG Divn., Guntakal 515 801, AP, H.No.12/517 D4, Adarsha Nagar,
Guntakal 515 801, AP, Mobile:9618014888, Email:NA ...Applicant

(By Advocate : Mr. B. Gurudas)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520010,AP.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518002,AP.
- 4.The Supdt., RMS AG Divn., Guntakal 515 801,AP. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.K.Ravi Krishnakanth, Addl. CGSC)

OA No.2079/2024

M.Lakshmi Narayana S/o Late M.Rama Rao, Aged 68 yrs,
Retd Postal Asst., Vizianagaram Divn., R/o H.No.4-258/A,
Jagannadharaju Colony, Nr Vinayaka Towers East Side House,
Cheepurpalli-535128.Applicant

(By Advocate : Mr.M.Venkanna)

Vs.

- 1.The Union of India rep by its Secty, Govt., of India, M/o Communications
& I.T, Dept. of Posts-India, Dak Bhavan, Sansad Marg, N.Delhi-110001.

2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P.Circle, Vijayawada-520013.

3.The Supdt of Post Offices, Vizianagaram Divn.,
Vizianagaram-535002. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.R.V.Mallikarjuna Rao, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.2081/2024

Sripada Viswanadha Pratap S/o Late S.Suba Rao,
Age 63 yrs, Retd SPM, Parvathipuram Divn.,
R/o Viswanadhapuram Village, Metpally SO 535125. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.M.Venkanna)

Vs.

1.The Union of India represented by its Secretary, Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & I.T, Dept. of Posts, India, Dak Bhavan,
Sansad Marg, N.Delhi-110001.

2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P.Circle, Vijayawada-520013.

3.The Superintendent of Post Offices, Parvatipuram Divn.,
Parvathipuram-535501. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Siva Sankar, Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.2083/2024

Naidu Anand S/o Naidu Abraham, Aged 61 yrs,
Retd Postal Asst., Vizianagaram divn., R/o Plot No.95 D,
D.No.147, Vijayanagar Colony, Ayyannapeta Junction,
Vizianagaram-535003.Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.M.Venkanna)

Vs.

1.The Union of India rep by its Secty, Govt., of India, M/o Communications
& I.T, Dept. of Posts, India, Dak Bhavan, Sansad Marg, N.Delhi-110001.

2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P.Circle, Vijayawada-520013.

3.The Supdt of Post Offices, Vizianagaram Divn.,
Vizianagaram-535002. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.A.Srinivas, Addl.CGSC)

OA No.2085/2024

E.Sankara Naidu S/o Late Ramu Naidu, Aged 60 yrs,
Retd SPM, Vizianagaram Cantt, R/o P.No.18, PR Colony,
Ring Road, Vizianagaram-535002.Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.M.Venkanna)

Vs.

1.The Union of India rep by its Secty, Govt., of India, M/o Communications
& I.T, Dept. of Posts, India, Dak Bhavan, Sansad Marg, N.Delhi-110001.

2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P.Circle, Vijayawada-520013.

3.The Supdt of Post Offices, Vizianagaram Divn.,
Vizianagaram-535002. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mrs.N.Naga Prasanti, Addl.CGSC)

OA No.2086/2024

Grandhi Murtyala Rao S/o Late G.Satyanarayana, Aged 66 yrs,
Retd Postal Assistant, Vizianagaram Divn., R/ok H.No.3-8-10/1,
Arakala Gedda Street, Vizianagaram-535001.Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.M.Venkanna)

Vs.

1.The Union of India represented by its Secretary, Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & I.T, Dept. of Posts, India, Dak Bhavan,
Sansad Marg, N.Delhi-110001.

2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P.Circle, Vijayawada-520013.

3.The Superintendent of Post Offices, Vizianagaram Divn.,
Vizianagaram-535002. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.M Swarna, Addl.CGSC)

OA No.2100/2024

I G Johnson, Gr-C, Aged: 63 yrs, S/o Late I George,
Occ:Retired SA, RMS AG Divn., R/o F.No.205, A Block Rd-10,
Appt:Vine Yard Osetra, Vivekanandapuram, Sainikpuri, Sec'bad-500094,
Mobile:9618014888, Email:NA ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

1.Union of India rep. by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & I.T, Dept. of Post, N.Delhi-110001.

2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520010, AP.

3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518002,AP.

4.The Supdt, RMS AG Divn., Guntakal-515801. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Siva Sankar, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.2107/2024

H Rama Devi W/o Late K.Ramamanikya Sastry, Gr-C,
Aged: 60 yrs, Occ: Retired LSG SA, RMS AG Divn.,
R/o Plot No.259, H.No.1/4/483, Suraram Colony, IDA Jeedimetla,
Hyderabad 500055, Mobile:9885417413, Email: NA ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

1.Union of India rep. by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & I.T, Dept. of Post, N.Delhi-110001.

2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520010, AP.

3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518002,AP.

4.The Supdt, RMS AG Divn., Guntakal-515801, AP. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mrs.B.G.Varma, Sr. CGSC)

OA No.2108/2024

Ch.Nageswara Rao, Gr-C, Aged 66 yrs, S/o Ch.Venkaiah,
Occ:Retd MACP II SA, RMS Y Divn., Vijayawada 520 001, AP,

H.No.7-6-933A, 1st Lane, Rasool Nagar, Guntur 522 001, AP,
Mobile : 9966858512, Email : NA ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

- 1.The Union of India represented by its Secretary, Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & I.T, Dept. of Posts, India, Dak Bhavan,
Sansad Marg, N.Delhi-110001.
- 2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520013, AP.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Vijaywada Region, Vijayawada-520003,AP.
- 4.The Superintendent, RMS Y Divn., Vijayawada-520001, AP. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.M.Venkata Swamy, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.2111/2024

Syed Ahmed, Gr-C, Aged 66 yrs, S/o Syed Jani Saheb,
Occ:Retd LSG SA, RMS V Divn., Vishakapatnam 530 017, AP,
H.No.13-2-90, Guntur 522 001, AP, Mobile:8897862979,
Email:NA ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520013,AP.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Visakhapatnam Region, Visakhapatnam-530017, AP.
- 4.The Supdt., RMS V Divn, Vishakapatnam-530004,AP. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.D.Satyaveer, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.2112/2024

V.Vijay Kumar, Gr-C, Aged 63 yrs, S/o V.Rajarithnam,
Occ: Retd L.S.G Supervisor, RMS AG Divn., Guntakal 515 801, AP,
H.No.2/679, Modinabad, Guntakal 515 801, AP, Mobile:9618014888,
Email:NA ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

- 1.Union of India rep. by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & I.T, Dept. of Post, N.Delhi-110001.
- 2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520010, AP.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518002,AP.
- 4.The Supdt, RMS AG Divn., Guntakal-515801, AP. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.D.Satyaveer, Sr. CGSC)

OA No.2113/2024

K.Subbanjanyulu, Gr-C, Aged 61 yrs, S/o K.Subbarayudu,

Occ:Retd HSG Post Master, RMS AG Divn., Guntakal 515 801, AP,
H.No.2 106, Shreemahalakshmi Nilayam, H.S.Layout, Bangalore 87,
Mobile:9989270021, Email:NA ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520010,AP.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518002, AP.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, RMS AG Divn, Guntakal-515801. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr. B. Rajeshwar Rao, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.2114/2024

Y.Maduletti Reddy, Gr-C, Aged: 61 yrs, S/o Y.Venkata Reddy,
Occ: Retired Sub Postmaster, RMS AG Divn., R/o Plot No.501,
Lorven Residency, Doctor's Colony, Kurnool 518 002,
Mobile:9618014888, Email:NA ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520010,AP.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518002, AP.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, RMS AG Divn, Guntakal-515801. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.A.Ram Mohan, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.2115/2024

G.V.Annapurna, Gr-C, Aged 64 yrs, W/o A.Subba Rao,
Occ: Retd Sorting Assistant, RMS AG Divn., Guntakal, AP,
H.No.21-27/2 S.K.D.Colony, V Road, Adoni 518301, Kurnool Dist,
AP, Mobile:9618014888, Email:NA ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520010,AP.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518002, AP.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, RMS AG Divn, Guntakal-518002. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mrs.Megha Rani Agarwal, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.2124/2024

G.Geetavani W/o Late G.V.Ramaiah Chetty, Gr-C

Occ:Retd SA, RMS AG Divn., Guntakal, R/o 37/53-1-8-1,
Nehru Nagar, Shankarapuram P.O, Cuddapah 516 002,AP,
Mobile:9618014888, Email:NA ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520010,AP.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518002, AP.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, RMS AG Divn, Guntakal-515801. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.A.Radha Krishna, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.2125/2025

C. Lakshmi Narayana, Gr-C, Aged 67 yrs, S/o SC.Chidambaraiah,
Occ: Retd Postal Assistant, RMS AG Divn., Guntakal 515 801, AP,
H.No.14/263-I, P.R.T.Street, Dharmavaram, AP, Mobile:9618014888,
Email:NAApplicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520010,AP.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518002, AP.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, RMS AG Divn, Guntakal-515801,AP. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.A.Surender Reddy, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.2126/2024

L.C.Mareppa S/o L.Chinna Mareppa Late, aged 62 yrs,
Retired as PA, Camp-B LSG SO, R/o H.No.40/770, J.J.Nagar,
Postal Colony, N.R.Peta, Kurnool-518004, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Ms.K.S.Manaswi)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-501013, NTR District.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool District 518002,
Kurnool District.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Kurnool Divn, Kurnool-518001. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.M.Brahma Reddy,Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.2128/2024

B.Babu Prasad, Gr-C, Aged 73 yrs, S/o B.Simon,

Occ: Retd Sorting Assistant, RMS AG Divn., Guntakal 515 801, AP,
H.No.6/1260, Bhagya Nagar, Guntakal 515 801, AP, Mobile:9618014888,
Email:NAApplicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

- 1.The Union of India represented by its Secretary, Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & I.T, Dept. of Post, N.Delhi-110001.
- 2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520010, AP.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518002,AP.
- 4.The Superintendent, RMS AG Divn., Guntakal-515801, AP. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mrs.N.Naga Prasanthi, Addl.CGSC)

OA No.2129/2024

P.V.Chalapathi Chetty, Gr-C, Aged 62 yrs, S/o P.Changalraya Chetty,
Occ:Retd Rtd. L.S.G Supervisor, RMS AG Divn., Guntakal 515 801, AP,
H.No.88/123, Angade Veedhi, Cuddapah 516 004, AP, Mobile:9618014888,
Email:NAApplicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

- 1.The Union of India represented by its Secretary, Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & I.T, Dept. of Posts, India, Dak Bhavan,
Sansad Marg, N.Delhi-110001.
- 2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520010, AP.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518002,AP.
- 4.The Superintendent, RMS AG Divn., Guntakal-515801, AP. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.G.Raghunath,Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.2131/2024

Ch.Koteswara Rao, Gr-C, Aged 65 yrs, S/o Ch.Venkateslu, Occ:Retd LSG SA,
RMS Y Divn., Vijayawada 520 001, AP, H.No.16-8-339, 6th Lane, Balaji
Nagar, Old Guntur, Guntur 522 001, AP, Mobile:9618014888,
Email:chkrao8490@gmail.com ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

- 1.The Union of India represented by its Secretary, Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & I.T, Dept. of Post, N.Delhi-110001.
- 2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520013, AP.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Vijaywada Region, Vijayawada-520003,AP.
- 4.The Superintendent, RMS Y Divn., Vijayawada-520001, AP. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr. Venumadhava Swamy, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.2142/2024

Y.Sanyasi Rao S/o Late Peda Ramulu, Aged 62 yrs, Retd Sub Postmaster,
Vizianagaram Divn., R/o F.No.502, Teenakshi Residency, Srinivasa Nagar,
Vizianagaram 535002. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.M.Venkanna)

Vs.

1.The Union of India represented by its Secretary, Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & I.T, Dept. of Posts, India, Dak Bhavan,
Sansad Marg, N.Delhi-110001.

2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P.Circle, Vijayawada-520013.

3.The Supdt of Post Offices, Vizianagaram Divn.,
Vizianagaram-535002. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.D.Satyaveer, Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.2143/2024

A.Veeraiah, Gr-C, Aged 63 yrs, S/o A.Muthyalu,
Occ: Retd MACP-II SA, RMS Y Division, Vijayawada 520 001, AP,
H.No.16-8-339, 6th Lane, Balaji Nagar, Old Guntur, Guntur 522 001, A.P,
Mobile:9618014999, Email: NAApplicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

1.The Union of India represented by its Secretary, Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & I.T, Dept. of Posts, India, Dak Bhavan,
Sansad Marg, N.Delhi-110001.

2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520013, AP.

3.The Postmaster General, Vijaywada Region, Vijayawada-520003,AP.

4.The Superintendent, RMS Y Divn., Vijayawada-520001, AP. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mrs.N.Naga Prasanthi, Addl.CGSC)

OA No.2145/2024

B.V.Giri Kumar, Gr-C, Aged 66 yrs, S/o B.Ramakrilshna Prasad,
Occ: Retd SA, RMS Y Divn., Vijayawada 520 001, AP, H.No.3-29-8/11B,
2nd Line Krishna Nagar, Guntur 522 006, AP, Mobile:9849784103,
Email: NAApplicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

1.The Union of India represented by its Secretary, Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & I.T, Dept. of Posts, India, Dak Bhavan,
Sansad Marg, N.Delhi-110001.

2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520013, AP.

3.The Postmaster General, Vijaywada Region, Vijayawada-520003,AP.

4.The Superintendent, RMS Y Divn., Vijayawada-520001, AP. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.A.Vijaya Bhaskar Babu, Addl.CGSC)

OA No.2146/2024

G.S.Ghousemohiddin S/o G.Mahaboob, Aged 69 yrs,
Retd Sub Postmaster, D.No.53/19-9-7, Kagithalapenta,
Ravindra Nagar, Cuddapah-516003. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.M.Venkanna)

Vs.

- 1.The Union of India represented by its Secretary, Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & I.T, Dept. of Posts, India, Dak Bhavan,
Sansad Marg, N.Delhi-110001.
- 2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P.Circle, Vijayawada-520013.
- 3.The Supdt of Post Offices, Cuddapah Divn., Cuddapah-516001....Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.M.Brahma Reddy, Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.2147/2024

B.Vijayalakshmi D/o B.Pamuleti Late, Aged 60 yrs, Retd as PA,
Yemmiganur LSG SO, R/o H.No.1-549-1, Sreenagar Colony,
Aspari Rd, Adoni-518301, Kurnool Dist., AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Ms.K.S.Manaswi)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-501013, NTR District.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool District 518003,
Kurnool District.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Kurnool Divn, Kurnool-518001. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.K.Ravi Krishnakanth,Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.2154/2024

A.Bala Nagamma, Gr-C, Aged 64 yrs, W/o K.Narayana Rao,
Occ: Retd MACP II SA, RMS Y Divn., Guntur 522 001, AP,
R/o H.No.12-11-125, 2/1 cross Road, Ramireddy Thota, Opp.
Tulasi Hospital, Guntur 522 001, AP, Mobile:7893064158,
Email:NA ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520013,AP.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayaawada-520003, AP.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, RMS Y Divn, Guntur-522001. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mrs.M.Swarna, Addl. CGSC)

OA No.2163/2024

A V S D E P Danteswara Rao S/o late A Ch.Sanyasi Rao,
Aged 64 yrs, Retd Postal Asst., Vizianagaram Divn., R/o

F.No.202, SVS Residency, VUDA Colony Phase 2,
Vizianagaram 535003.Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.M.Venkanna)

Vs.

1.The Union of India represented by its Secretary, Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & I.T, Dept. of Posts, India, Dak Bhavan,
Sansad Marg, N.Delhi-110001.

2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P.Circle, Vijayawada-520013.

3.The Superintendent of Post Offices, Vizianagaram Divn.,
Vizianagaram-535002. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Rajeshwar Rao, Addl.CGSC)

OA No.2168/2024

D.S.Satyanarayana S/o Late Varaha Narasimha Murthy,
Aged 61 yrs, Retd Postal Assistant, Vizianagaram Divn.,
R/o Opp to BSNL Office, Vizianagaram Rd., Kothavalasa 535183.....Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.M.Venkanna)

Vs.

1.The Union of India represented by its Secretary, Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & I.T, Dept. of Posts, India, Dak Bhavan,
Sansad Marg, N.Delhi-110001.

2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P.Circle, Vijayawada-520013.

3.The Superintendent of Post Offices, Vizianagaram Divn.,
Vizianagaram -535002. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.V.Venu Madhava Swamy, Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.2169/2024

S.MahaboobBasha S/o Alla Baksh Shaik, Aged 62 yrs,
Retd Sub Postmaster, D.No.19/755-1, J M Street, Cuddapah 516001.
.....Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.M.Venkanna)

Vs.

1.The Union of India represented by its Secretary, Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & I.T, Dept. of Posts, India, Dak Bhavan,
Sansad Marg, N.Delhi-110001.

2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P.Circle, Vijayawada-520013.

3.The Superintendent of Post Offices, Cuddapah Divn.,
Cuddapah-516001. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.A.Sreenivas, Addl.CGSC)

OA No.2170/2024

K.B.Rathamma, Gr.-C, Aged 63 yrs, W/o Y..C.Yogaiah,
Occ:Retd LSG SA, RMS Y Divn., Ongole 523 002, AP,
R/o F.No.3031, 3rd Floor, Anjaneya Enclave, Model Town,
Near HB Colony, Ongole 523 002,AP, Mobile:9553392530,
Email:NA ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520013,AP.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-520003, AP.
- 4.The Supdt, RMS Y Divn, Ongole-523002, AP. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.P.Naveen Kumar, Addl. CGSC)

OA No.2204/2024

N.V.Sankara Rao, Gr-C, Aged: 62 yrs, S/o Late N Venkateslu,
Occ: Retired SA, HRO RMS 'Y' Division, R/o H.No.LIG 242 AP
H.B Colony, Ongole 523 002, Mobile:9848576020, Email:NAApplicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada-520003,AP.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-520013, AP.
- 4.The Supdt, RMS Y Divn, Vijayawada-520001, AP. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.M.Brahma Reddy, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.2206/2024

Syed Firajuddin, Gr-C, Aged: 63 yrs, S/o Syed Tajuddin, Occ:Retired SA,
HRO RMS 'Y' Divn., R/o H.No. 20-56, Pound Rd, Jenda Chettu Street,
Bhattiprolu (Post), Bapthla District-522 256, Mob No.9390490744,
Email : naApplicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

- 1.Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt of India,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Post, N.Delhi 110 001.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada 520 013, AP.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-520 013,AP.
- 4.The Supdt., RMS Y Divn., Vijayawada 520 001,AP. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.P.Krishna, Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.2207/2024

M D Jaharullah, Gr-C, Aged: 62 yrs, S/o Late Abdul Sattar,
Occ: Retd HSG I SA, HRO RMS Y Divn, R/o H.No.6-12-16D,
K.B.Layout, Tirupati 517 507, Mobile:9440712091, Email:NAApplicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

- 1.Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt of India,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Post, N.Delhi 110 001.

2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada 520 013, AP.

3.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-520 003,AP.

4.The Supdt., RMS Y Divn., Vijayawada 520 001,AP. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Rajeshwar Rao, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.2221/2024

K David, Gr-A, Aged 63 yrs, S/o Late Aseervadam, Occ: Retd DD (PRO),
Mumbai GPO, R/o D.No.74-6/7-1/5A, Netaji Rd, Ayyappa Nagar, Auto
Nagar Post, Vijayawada 520 007,AP, Mobile:8333009217,
Email:kdavidasp@gmail.comApplicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

1.Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt of India,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Post, N.Delhi 110 001.

2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada 520 013, AP.

3.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-520 003,AP.

4.The SPOs, Machilipatnam Divn., Machilipatnam-520 001. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.D.Satyaveer, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.2223/2024

K Koteswara Prasad, Gr-C, Aged: 62 yrs, S/o K.Venkateswara Rao,
Occ: Retd SA, SRO RMS TY Divn., R/o : 402/A-R.S.Residency,
Pappula Street, Storehousepet, Nellore-524002, Mobile:9441004598,
Email:kkprasad462@gmail.comApplicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

1.Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt of India,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Post, N.Delhi 110 001.

2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada 520 013, AP.

3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518 002,AP.

4.The Supdt., RMS TP Divn., Tirupati-517 501,AP...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.M.Venkata Swamy, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.2224/2024

PVB Sastry, Gr-C, Aged : 64 yrs, S/o P. Sastry, Occ: Retired SA,
RMS AG Divn., R/o Flat No.404, Viswasai Brindavan Appt, Nagaram
Silpanagar, Sec'bad – 500 083, Mobile : 9618014888, Email : NAApplicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

1.Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt of India,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Post, N.Delhi 110 001.

2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP Circle, Krishnalanka, Vijayawada 520 010, AP.
3. The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518 002, AP.
4. The Supdt., RMS AG Divn., Guntakal-515 801, AP. ... Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Madhusudhan Reddy, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.2225/2024

G V Basavaiah, Gr-C, Aged: 62 yrs, S/o Late G.Subba Rao,
Occ: Retd SA, HRO RMS Y Divn., R/o H.No. 18-166,
Chitturi Ramaswami Street, Kankipadu, Krishna (Dist) 521 151,
Mobile:9391145989, Email : NAApplicant
(By Advocate : Mr. B. Gurudas)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt of India,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Post, N.Delhi 110 001.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP Circle, Krishnalanka, Vijayawada 520 003, AP.
3. The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-520 013, AP.
4. The Supdt., RMS Y Divn., Vijayawada 520 001. ... Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.P.Naveen Kumar, Addl. CGSC)

OA No.2226/2024

C Yesu Ratnam Gr-C, Aged: 70 yrs, S/o C.Kotayya, Occ: Retd SA,
HRO RMS AG Divn., R/o H.No. 5-64, Haarijanawada,
Karempudi-522614, Mobile: 7382241935, Email : NAApplicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt of India,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Post, N.Delhi 110 001.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP Circle, Krishnalanka, Vijayawada 520 013, AP.
3. The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-520 013, AP.
4. The Supdt., RMS AG Divn., Guntakal-515 801, AP. ... Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.P.Narayana, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.2227/2024

D.Bishoyi, Gr-C, Aged 63 yrs, S/o Late D.Gopinath, Occ: Retd. SA,
HRO RMS Y Divn, R/o H.No. 43-106/1-43D, Ajith Singh Nagar (Post),
Nr Kudera Apartment, Vijayawada-520 015, Bapatla Dist.-522 256,
Mobile:9490444128, Email:NAApplicant
(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt of India,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Post, N.Delhi 110 001.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP Circle, Krishnalanka,

Vijayawada 520 003, AP.

3.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-520 013,AP.

4.The Supdt., RMS Y Divn., Vijayawada 520 001. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mrs.K.Bharathi,Addl. CGSC)

OA No.2228/2024

B.Radha, Gr-C, Aged: 64 yrs, W/o B.Krishna Murthy,
Occ: Retd PA, Kavali HO Nellore Div, R/o : 10-36-100/5,
Vengala Rao Nagar Kavali, Nellore Dist 524002, Mobile:9441236441,
Email:NAApplicant

(By Advocate : Mr.B.Gurudas)

Vs.

1.Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt of India,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Post, N.Delhi 110 001.

2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP Circle, Krishnalanka,
Vijayawada 520 013, AP.

3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518 002,AP.

4.The Supdt., RMS TP Divn., Tirupati 517 501,AP. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.N.Parameswara Reddy, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.2326/2024

1.Y.Sreenath S/o Jdanardhan, Aged 62 yrs, Occ: Retd Dy.Postmaster,
R/o H.No.1-203-A-173/B1, Vidyannagar, Markapur-523316,
Prakasam Dist.,

2. G.Sadanandam S/o Lakshmikanthaiah, Aged 65 yrs,
Occ: Retd Sub-Postmaster, R/o H.No.1-203-D-92/A2,
Nr Arunodaya School, Markapur-523316, Prakasam Dist.

3.B.Rajendra Psrasad S/o B.Benjaiah, Aged 60 yrs,
Occ: Retd Sub-Postmaster, R/o P-14, Kolla Farm Colony,
Balajinagar, J.J.Nagar, Hyd'bad-500087.

4.P.Avulaiah S/o Late Sri Avulaiah, Aged 67 yrs,
Occ: Retd. Dy.Postmaster, R/o H.No.10-203-9-B,
Jawahar Nagar Colony, Markapur-523316, Prakasam Dist.

5. M.Ananda Rao S/o Latse Subbaiah, Aged 63 yrs,
Occ: Retd Sub-Postmaster, R/o H.No.28-2945,
Opp: Government Hospital, Noonepalli, Nandyal-518502,
Kurnool Dist.

6.K.Balachandraiah S/o Late K.Narayanamurthy, Aged 63 yrs,
Occ:Retd Sub Postmaster, R/o H.No.7-269, Nadigadda Street,
Nandyal, Nandyal-518501, Kurnool Dist.

7.R.K.Venkateswarlu S/o R.Peddanna, Aged 66 yrs,
Occ: Retd Sub-Postmaster, R/o H.No.1-153-H-1,
Chennakesavanagar, Markapur-523316, Prakasam Dist.

8.P.Srinivasulu S/o P.Venkateswarlu, Aged 63 yrs, Occ: Retd.
Sub-Postmaster, R/o H.No.Bollupalli (Village), Ardhavedu (Mandal),
Markapur-523316, Prakasam Dist.

9.Sk.Md.Gouse S/o Sk.Chinna Mahaboob Peera, Aged 63 yrs,
Occ: Retd. Sub-Postmaster, R/o H.No.1-203-A-186-5, Markapur,
Markapur-523316, Prakasam Dist.

10.Sk.Asia Begum W/o S.Md.Gouse, Aged 56 yrs,
Workilng as Grade-II Postmaster, R/o H.No.1-123-A-186-5,
Markapur-523316, Prakasam Dist.

11.R.Mallikarjuna Rao S/o Late Kameshwar Rao, Aged 64 yrs,
Occ: Retd LSG SPM, R/o H.No.21-366,l Desai Street, Kota,
Nandyal-518501, Kurnool Dist.

12.V.Govinda Setty S/o Late V.Pullaiah, Aged 64 yrs,
Occ: Retd Sub-Postmaster, R/o Flat No.205, Adithya Towers,
NGO's Colony, Nandyal-518502, Kurnool Dist. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.J.Sudheer)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.

2.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Krishnalanka, Vijayawada-13,
NTR District.

3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518003.

4.The Supdt., of Post Offices, Nandyal Divn., Nandyal-518502.

5.The Supdt., of Post Offices, Prakasam Divn., Ongole, Prakasam Dist.

....Respondents

(By Advocate: Mr.B.Rajeswar Rao, Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.2368/2024

Shaik Mastan Vali, S/o Shaik Ramjani Saheb, Aged 62 yrs,
Retd LSG SPM, Bosenagar SO, Chirala 523 155, ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1.The Union of India represented by its Secretary, Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.

2.The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
New Delhi-110001.

3.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.

4.The Postmaster General, Vijaywada Region, Vijayawada-13.

5.The Superintendent of Post Offices, Ongole Divn., Ongole. ...Respondents
(By Advocate : Mrs.B. G. Varma, Sr.CGSC)

OA No.7/2025

R.V.Raghava Rao S/o LP Seetharamaiah, aged about 65 yrs,
Retired PA, Addanki SO-523201, a/w Ongole HO, Prakasam Divn.,
And now resident of Seetharampuram, Ongole-523001, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Ongole Divn., Ongole. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr. B. Siva Sankar, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.8/2025

P.Venkateswara RAo S/o P.Guruswamaiah, Aged 62 yrs, Retired HSG-1 (NFG),
Postmaster, Ongole HO-523001, Prakasam Division. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Ongole Divn., Ongole. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.K.Laxmi Narayana Rao, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.9/2025

P.Brahmareddy S/o P.Kotireddy, aged 67 yrs,
Retd. SPM, Tangtur SO-523274, Ongole HO-523001,
Prakasam Division. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Ongole Divn., Ongole. ..Respondents

(By Advocate: Mr.D.Laxmi Narayana Rao, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.15/2025

B.Gangadharam S/o B.Thirupalaiah, Age 61 yrs,
Retired Sub Postmaster, Proddatur Fort SO, R/o H.No.2-463,
Bellaiah Street, Jammalamadugu, Cuddapah-516434,
PPO No.Postal/AP/37777. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.M.Venkanna)

Vs.

- 1.The Union of India represented by its Secretary, Govt., of India,
M/o Communications & I.T, Dept. of Posts, India, Dak Bhavan,
Sansad Marg, N.Delhi-110001.
- 2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P.Circle, Vijayawada-520013.
- 3.The Supdt of Post Offices, Proddatur Divn., Proddatur-516361....Respondents
(By Advocate : Mr.P.Krishna, Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.22/2025

K.V.Rama Murthy S/o K.Sivaiah, Aged 61 yrs, Retired LSG, SPM,
Woollapalem S.O 523104, Prakasam Division. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Ongole Divn., Ongole. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.A.Surender Reddy, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.23/2025

M.Rajasekhar, Aged 63 yrs, Retired APM, Accounts, Ongole
HO-523001, Prakasam Division, Ongole, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Ongole Divn., Ongole. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.M.Brahma Reddy, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.24/2025

Ch.Subbalakshmi D/o P.R.Narasimha Rao, Aged 59 yrs,
Retired LSG SPM, Tangulur BST SO-523274, Ongole-523001,
Prakasam Division. ...Applicant

(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Ongole Divn., Ongole. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.B.Siva Sankar, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.25/2025

I.Sri Ramachandra Rao S/o I.Venkateswarlu, Aged 63 yrs,
Retired PA, Tantutur SO., Prakasam Division. ...Applicant

(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Ongole Divn., Ongole. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.P.Naveen Kumar, Addl.CGSC)

OA No.26/2025

N.Sambasiva Rao S/o N.Venkateswarlu, Aged 61 yrs,
Retired LSG SPM, Chirala Bazar TSO, Perala-523157, AP. ...Applicant

(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Ongole Divn., Ongole. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.A.Vijaya Bhaskar Babu, Addl.CGSC)

OA No.27/2025

T.Lakshmi Rajyam D/o T.Ramanadham, aged 61 yrs, Retired LSG PA,
Chirala HO-523 155, Ongole, Prakasam Division. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Ongole Divn., Ongole. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mrs. N. Naga Prasanthi, Addl.CGSC)

OA No.28/2025

G.V.Ranga Rao S/o G.Nageswara Rao, aged 61 yrs, Retd HSG-II,
APM(C), Ongole, Prakasam Divn.,. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Ongole Divn., Ongole. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mrs.K.Bharathi, Addl. CGSC)

OA No.33/2025

N.Usha Rani D/o N.Hanumantha Rao, Aged 62 yrs, Retd PA,
CO, O/o PMG, Vijayawada-520003, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Ongole Divn., Ongole. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.V.Venu Madhava Swamy, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.34/2025

Ch.Sarada D/o Ch.Narsi Reddy, Aged 61 yrs, Retd LSG SPM,
Prakashnagar SO, Narasaraopet-522601, Prakasam Divn., AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Ongole Divn., Ongole. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.M.Mohan Rao, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.35/2025

P.Bhagya Lakshmi D/o P.R.Narasimha rao, Aged 59 yrs,
Retd. LSG SPM, Tanguturu BST SO, Ongole-523001,
Prakasam Divn. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Ongole Divn., Ongole. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.G.Rajesham, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.36/2025

Byra Srinivasulu S/o B.Venkata Rao, Aged 61 yrs, Retd., LSG SPM,
Subbaiah Thota S.O., Chilakaluripet-522616, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Ongole Divn., Ongole. ..Respondents

(By Advocate: Mr.A.Ram Mohan, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.37/2025

D.Ravindra Babu S/o D.V.Hanumantha Rao, Aged 61 yrs, Retd. HSG-II,
SPM, Addanki SO-523203, Prakasam Divn.,. ...Applicant

(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Ongole Divn., Ongole. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.A.Ram Mohan, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.38/2025

P.Rama Subbaiah, aged 63 yrs, Retd SPM (LSG), Tokapalli (SO),
Markapur, Ongole , R/o Erga Gundapalem, Prakasam District,
AP. ...Applicant

(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Ongole Divn., Ongole. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.A.Surender Reddy, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.42/2025

B.Rajendra Prasad S/o B.Benjaiah, Aged 60 yrs,
Occ: Retd Sub-Postmaster, R/o P-14, Kolla Farm Colony,
Balajinagar, J.J.Nagar, Hyderabad-500087. ...Applicant

(By Advocate : Mr.J.Sudheer)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Krishnalanka,Vijayawada-501013,
NTR District.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518003.
- 4.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Sec'bad Divn., Gandhinagar,

Hyderabad-500080. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mrs.K.Rajitha, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.43/2025

R.Mallikarjuna Rao S/o Late Kameshwar Rao, Aged 64 yrs,
Occ:Retd LSG SPM, R/o H.No.21-366, Desai Street, Kota,
Nandyal-518501, Kurnool District. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.J.Sudheer)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Krishnalanka,Vijayawada-501013,
NTR District.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518003.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices,Nandyal Divn.,Nandyal-518502....Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.B.Madhusudhan Reddy, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.50/2025

R.Sreeramamurthy S/o China Ankamma, aged 61 yrs, Retd HSG-1
Postmaster, Kandukur HO, Prakasam Divn., Ongole, AP Circle, R/o
F.No.202, SR Residency, Mangamur Donka, Sundar Nagar, Ongole-523002,
AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Prakasam Divn.,Prakasam. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.A.Ram Mohan, Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.51/2025

Y.Varalakshmi, aged 61 yrs, Brodipet TSO, Guntur-522002,
Guntur HO, R/o of D.No.126-7-741, Srilakshmi Nivasam, Back side
Srinu Hotel, Gorantla, Guntur-522034, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.

4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.

5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Prakasam Divn.,Prakasam. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.M.Venkata Swamy, Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.52/2025

P.Narendra Babu, aged 64 yrs, Retd LSG SPM, Santharavur SO-523185,
Prakasam Divn., R/o D.No.1-23-4, 23 Ward, Near Ramamandiram,
Vykuntapuram, Chirala-523 155, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.

3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.

4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.

5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Prakasam Divn.,Prakasam. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.D.Satyaveer, Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.53/2025

N.Sivannarayana, aged 65 yrs, Retd PA, Prakasam Divn.,
Kandukur HO, Ongole, R/o D.No.21-4-24, Nallamallivarithota,
Kandukur-523105, Prakasam District-523262, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.

3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.

4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.

5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Prakasam Divn.,Prakasam. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.A.Sreenivas, Addl. CGSC)

OA No.54/2025

M.Rama Mohan Rao S/o M.Koteswara Rao, Aged 65 yrs,
Retd PA, Prakasam Divn., Ongole, R/o D.No.3-108,
Manduvavaripalem PO, VIA Throvagunta,
Prakasam District-523262, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,

N.Delhi-110001.

- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Prakasam Divn.,Prakasam. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.M.Mohan Rao, Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.55/2025

J.Aruna Devi, Aged 65 yrs, LSG Sub Postmaster, Chinnaganjam
SO-523135, Prakasam Divn., Ongole, R/o D.No.56-1-5(5),
Pothurajupalem, Ongole HO-523001, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Prakasam Divn.,Prakasam
District. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.M.Brahma Reddy, Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.56/2025

K.Venkateswarlu, aged 64 yrs, Retd. Postal Assistant,
Sattenapalli HO-522403, Palnadu Dist., AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Prakasam Divn.,Prakasam. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.G.Raghunath, Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.57/2025

G.Kanakamma Aged 66 yrs, LSG PA, Ongole HO-523001,
Prakasam Divn., R/o 1st Line, Maruthi Nagar, Opp.Navabharat
Buildings, SBI Colony, Ongole-523002,AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,

M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.

2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.

3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.

4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.

5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Prakasam Divn., Prakasam. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mrs.K.Bharati, Addl.CGSC)

OA No.58/2025

B.Saraswathi W/o P.Sridhar Rao, aged 62 yrs, Retd. LSG Sub Postmaster,
Prakasam Bhavan TSO, Ongole, R/o D.No.46-141-59/3, Aasramam Area,
Backside of Sri Ramachandra Mission, Mungamur, Donka, Ongole HO-
523002, AP. ...Applicant

(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110001.

2. The Director (Staff) Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT,
N.Delhi-110001.

3.The Chief Post Master General, AP Circle, Vijayawada-13.

4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.

5.The Sr. Supdt., of Post Offices, Prakasam Divn.,Prakasam. ..Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.A.Vijaya Bhaskar Babu, Addl. CGSC)

OA No.83/2025

Sk.Md.Gouse S/o Sk.Chinna Mahaboob Peera, Aged 63 yrs,
Occ:Retd Sub-Postmaster, R/o H.No.1-203-A-186-5, Markapur,
Markapur-523316, Prakasam District. ...Applicant

(By Advocate : Mr. J. Sudheer)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi.

2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,Vijayawada-501013,
NRT District.

3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518003.

4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Nandyal Divn, Nandyal-518502.

5.The Supdt of Post Offices, Markapur Divn., Markapur, Prakasham
District. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr. M. Brahma Reddy, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.84/2025

G.Sadanandam S/o Lakshmikanthaiah, aged 65 yrs,

Occ: Retd. Sub-Postmaster, R/o H.No.1-203-D-92/A2, Near
Aryunodaya School, Markapur-523316, Prakasam District. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. J. Sudheer)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary to Govt.,
M/o Communications & IT, Dept of Posts, N.Delhi.
- 2.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Krishnalanka,Vijayawada-501013,
NTR District.
- 3.The Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518003.
- 4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Nandyal Divn, Nandyal-518502.
- 5.The Supdt of Post Offices, Prakasam Divn., Prakasham District....Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr. B. Siva Sankar, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.102/2025

G.Revathi D/o G.Venkata Reddy, aged 61 yrs,
Retired LSG SPM, PWD Colony S.O, Macherla-522426,
Prakasam Division, A.P. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by the Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Supdt of Post Offices, Ongole Divn,Ongole. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.A.Ram Mohan,Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.103/2025

I.Franklin S/o I.Lakshmaiah, aged 65 yrs, Retd. LSG SPM,
Maddipadu S.O 523211, Prakasam Division. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Supdt of Post Offices, Ongole Divn, Ongole. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.V.Venu Madhava Swamy, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.104/2025

V.Chandrasekhara Rao S/o V.Jayamakrishnaiah, aged 75 yrs,
Retd. Postal Assistant, Nellore and now R/o Mastrusai Residency,
Nellore-524004, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt of Post Offices, Nellore Divn, Nellore. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr. G. Raghunath,Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.105/2025

T.Papaiah S/o T.Suba Reddy, Aged 67 yrs,
Retd. Postal Assistant and now R/o RTO Office Road,
Nellore-524004, A.P. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Sr. Supdt of Post Offices, Nellore Divn, Nellore. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.M.Mohan Rao, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.106/2025

S.Revathi D/o S.SV.Chandrasekharahmanyam, aged 64 yrs,
Retd., Postal Assistant, Nellore and now R/o D.No.26-2-895,
Teachers Colony, Nellore-524004, A.P. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.

5.The Sr. Supdt of Post Offices, Nellore Divn, Nellore. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mrs.K. Bharati, Addl.CGSC)

OA No.107/2025

M.Vindhyavali D/o M.Lakshmi Narasa Reddy, aaged 65 yrs,
Retd. Postal Assistant, Nellore and now resident of D.No.26-5-496,
Venkatareddy Nagar, Nellore-524004, A.P. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.

2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.

3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.

4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.

5.The Supdt of Post Offices, Nellore Divn, Nellore. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.D.Laxmi Narayana Rao,Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.108/2025

P.Hazarathaiah S/o P.Subbaratnam, Aged 65 yrs,
Retd. Postal Assistant, Nellore and now R/o D.No.B-212/35-5,
Gandhi Nagar Extension, Buchireddypalm-524305, Nellore
District, A.P. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.

2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.

3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.

4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.

5.The Sr. Supdt of Post Offices, Nellore Divn, Nellore. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.B.Rajeshwar Rao, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.109/2025

N.V.Ramanamma D/o N.Yanadamma, aged 67 yrs,
Retd., Sub Post Master and now R/o 26-12-1098-2,
B.V.Nagar, Nellore, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.

2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.

3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.

4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.

5.The Sr. Supdt of Post Offices, Nellore Divn, Nellore. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.P.Naveen Kumar, Addl.CGSC)

OA No.110/2025

M.Lakshmikanthamma D/o M.Masthanaiah, aged 64 yrs, Retd. SPM,
DCBC Sub Post Office, Nellore, Nellore Divn., and now R/o D.No.
27-6-213, AKK Nagar, Nellore-524002l, A.P. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.

2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.

3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.

4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.

5.The Supdt of Post Offices, Nellore Divn, Nellore. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr. D. Satyaveer, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.111/2025

Shaik Mahaboob Basha S/o Shaik Hussain Saheb, Aged 62 yrs,
Retd. LSG SPM, NG Padu S.O-523183, Ongole. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.

2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.

3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.

4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.

5.The Sr. Supdt of Post Offices, Ongole Divn, Ongole. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.A.Vijaya Bhaskar Babu, Addl. CGSC)

OA No.112/2025

Ns.Ravi Kumar S/o N.Rajarithnam, aged 61 yrs,
Retd., Sub Postmaster, Nellore and now R/o D.No.HSG-1, NFSG,
Dargamitta SO-524002, Nellore District, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.

2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications

& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.

3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.

4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.

5.The Sr. Supdt of Post Offices, Nellore Divn, Nellore. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mrs. M. Swarna, Addl. CGSC)

OA No.113/2025

P.Pradeep Kumar S/o P.Chandra Mohan, aged 63 yrs,
Retd. Postal Assistant, Nellore and now R/o D.No.23/1189/1,
Aravinda Nagar Extension, Nellore-524004, A.P. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.

2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.

3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.

4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.

5.The Supdt of Post Offices, Nellore Divn, Nellore. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.P.Naveen Kumar, Addl. CGSC)

OA No.114/2025

G.Venkateswarlu S/o G.Ramanuja Reddy, aged 63 yrs,
Retd. Head Post Master, Nellore and now r/o D.No.1st Line,
Christianpet, Kavali-524201, Nellore District, A.P. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.

2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.

3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.

4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.

5.The Supdt of Post Offices, Nellore Divn, Nellore. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mrs.Naga Prashanthi, Addl. CGSC)

OA No.130/2025

Sk.Khursheed Begum W/o Sk.Khadar Masthan, Aged 59 yrs,
Retd HSG-II Postmaster, Nellore, R/o Srikalahasthi
HO-517644, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,

M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.

2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT, N.Delhi-110 001.

3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.

4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.

5.The Supdt of Post Offices, Tirupati Divn, Tirupati. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.V.Venu Madhava Swamy, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.131/2025

M.V.Sujatha D/o M.Kodanda Ramaiah, aged about 59 yrs,
Retd. LSG SPM, Nellore and now R/o Mulapet TSG, Nellore-
524003, AP.

...Applicant

(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.

2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT, N.Delhi-110 001.

3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.

4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.

5.The Supdt of Post Offices, Nellore Divn, Nellore. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.P.Naveen Kumar, Addl. CGSC)

OA No.132/2025

Y.Bhagya Latha D/o Y.Jaya Prasada Rao, Aged 63 yrs, Retd.,
LSG PA, Nellore, R/o D.No.Opp.Aakuthota, Nellore-524003,
AP.

...Applicant

(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.

2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications & IT, N.Delhi-110 001.

3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.

4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.

5.The Supdt of Post Offices, Nellore Divn, Nellore. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.D.Satyaveer, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.133/2025

M.Vijmalavathi D/o T.Seshu Reddy, Aged 65 yrs, Retd SPM,
R/o Srikalahasthi North SO-517644, AP.

...Applicant

(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Supdt of Post Offices,Tirupati/Kurnool Region,AP Circle,
Vijayawada. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.P.Naveen Kumar, Addl. CGSC)

OA No.134/2025

B.Sakunthala D/o A.Vengaiah, Aged 72 yrs, Retd Head Post Master,
Nellore, R/o D.No.27-6-145, A.K.Nagar, Nellore-524002, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Supdt of Post Offices, Nellore Divn, Nellore. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.M.Venkata Swamy, Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.135/2025

P.S.Madhava Rao S/o P.Seshagiri Rao, aged 62 yrs, Retd SRM,
Nellore R/o D.No.406, Padmavathi Homes, Yogimallavaram, Tiruchanel,
Tirupathi-517501, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Supdt of Post Offices,RMS Y Divn, Vijayawada Divn.,
Vijayawada. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mrs.M.Swarna, Addl. CGSC)

OA No.136/2025

M.Chandra Kumari D/o M.Gopala Krishnaiah, aged about 65 yrs,
Retd LSG SPM, Nellore and now R/o 5-106, Teachers Colony,
Venkatagiri, Nellore District-524 132, AP.Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Supdt., RMS Y Divn, Cuddapah Divn., Cuddapah. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mrs.Megha Rani Agarwal, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.138/2025

P.Mallikarjunan Rao S/o P.Venkata Rao, aged about 63 yrs,
Retd Head Post Master, Nellore, R/o Nellore HO 524001, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. The Union of India rep by its Secretary, Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, New Delhi-110001.
2. The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Supdt of Post Offices, Nellore Divn, Nellore. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.A.Ram Mohan, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.140/2025

M.Ramesh S/o M.Venkata Subbaiah, aged 63 yrs, Retd PRI (P),
Nellore and now R/o D.No.4-6-12, Nazeer Thota, Nellore-524002, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr. K. Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Supdt of Post Offices, Nellore Divn, Nellore. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.N.Parameswara Reddy, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.141/2025

Dh.Dhanamma D/o Ch.Penchala Reddy, aged about 61 yrs,
Retd. Sub Post Master, Badvel, LSG SO-516227, Cuddapah Divn,
Cuddpah. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Supdt of Post Offices, Cuddapah Divn, Cuddpah. ...Respondents

(By Advocate: Mr. A.Vijaya Bhaskar Babu, Addl. CGSC)

OA No.143/2025

Md.Abdul Khader S/o Md.Khadar Mohiuddin, Aged 64 yrs,
Retd ASPOs, Nellore and now R/o D.No.7-4-194, Ranganayakulapet,
Nellore-524001, Andhra Pradesh.Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.
- 5.The Supdt of Post Offices, Chittoor Divn, Chittoor. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr. M. Mohan Rao, Sr. PC for CG)

OA No.145/2025

P.Venkateswarlu, aged about 75 yrs, Retd SPM, Pulicat Nagar S.O.,
Gudur-524101, A.P. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.K.Venkateswara Rao)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary to Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 2.The Director (Staff), Department of Posts, M/o Communications
& IT, N.Delhi-110 001.
- 3.The Chief Post Master General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-13.
- 4.The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-13.

5.The Supdt of Post Offices, Gudur Divn, Gudur. ...Respondents
(By Advocate: Mr.A.Surnder Reddy, Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.156/2025

- 1.V.Brahma Reddy S/o V.Krishna Reddy, Age:61 yrs, Retd.SPM, Hyderabad City Divn., R/o Plot No.137, D.No.14-24/68, Jayalakshmi Nagar Phase-II, Beeramguda, R.C.Puram-502 032.
 - 2.K.Narayan Murthy S/o Late K.Venkatasuba Rao, Age: 65 yrs, Retd.CPC incharge SPM, Sangareddy Divn., R/o Plot No.59, Rajendra Reddy Colony, Vishnavi Estates, Chandanagar, Hyderabad-500 050.
 - 3.K.Bal Singh S/o K.Harichand, Age:59 yrs, LSG SPM Rudraram SO, Sangareddy Divn., R/o H.No.13-8, New Malkapur, Sangareddy-502 295.
 - 4.Pinapala Uma Laxmi W/o Pinapala Ananda Kumar, Age:63 yrs, Retd. P.A., R/o H.No.10-8/2, Plot No.44, Rd.No.7, 2nd Cross, Vidyanagar, Pothireddypally, Sangareddy-502 295.
 - 5.C.Kasinath S/o C.Sangappa, Age:62 yrs, Red. DPM, BHEL RC Rpuram, Sangareddy Divn., R/o H.No.4-68/770-AA1/30, Sri Vignan Colony, Opp:Balaji Hospital Kandi, Sangareddy Dist-502 285.
 - 6.A.Venkat Reddy S/o Late A.Bucha Reddy, Age 64 yrs, Retd. Sr.Supdt. of Post Offices, R/o H.No.32-77/89, SN-121, Sitaramnagar, Ramakrishnapuram, Sec'bad-500 056.
 - 7.Ch.Sudarshan Rao S/o Venkateshwar Rao, Age:64 yrs, Retd.SPM, Khammam Divn., R/o H.No.10-3-206, Rajsree Homes Apartments, Mamillagudem, Khammam-507001, PPO No.POSTAL/AP/36349.
 - 8.P.V.N.Rama Rao S/o P.Venkata Rao, Age:60 yrs, SPM, Gandhinagar-533 004, Kakinada Divn., R/o H.No.2-676, Vinayaka Temple Street, Cheediga, Kakinada-533 006.
 - 9.Samavedula Sankara Sastry S/o Late S.S.Bhukta, Age:62 yrs, Retd.APM(Mails), Vizianagaram Divn., R/o D.No.526, Rajiv Nagar Colony, Vizianagaram.
 - 10.Namburi Ramachodeswar Rao S/o Late N.S.R.Prasad, Age: 62 yrs, Retd. Postal Assistant, Khammam Divn., R/o H.No.15-8-253, 5th Lane, Srinagar Colony, Rotary Ngar, Khammam 507 002, PPO No.40523
 - 11.Tammireddi Balabrahma S/o Lae T.Narayana Murthy, Age:60 yrs, Retd. LSG P.A., Tadepallegudem Divn., R/o D.No.1-85-17, APHB Colony, Saidudrga Apartments, Tadepallegudem-534 101.Applicants
(By Advocate : Mr. M. Venkanna)
- Vs.
1. Union of India, Rep by its Secretary, Govt., of India,
M/o Communications and I.T, Dept. of Posts-India, Dak Bhavan, Sansad
 - 2.The Chief Postmaster General, Telangana Circle, Abids, Hyderabad-1.
 - 3.The Chief Postmaster General, AP Circle, Krishna Lanka, Vijayawada-520 013.

4.The Sr. Supdt of Post Offices, Hyderabad City Divn., Hyderabad-500 001.

5. The Supdt., of Post Offices, Sangareddy Divn., Sangareddy-502 001.

6.The Supdt., of Post Offices, Khammam Divn., Khammam.

7.The Supdt., of Post Offices, Kakinada Divn., Kakinada-533 001.

8.The Supdt., of Post Offices, Vizianagaram Divn., Vizianagaram-531 202.

9.The Supdt. of Post Offices, Tadepallegudem Divn., Tadepallegudem-534 101.
...Respondents

(By Advocate:Mr.D.Satyaveer, Sr.PC for CG)

OA No.175/2025

M.Brahmayya S/o Purushottam, Aged 67 yrs, Occ: Asst. Superintendent
Of Post Offices (Retd), Kakinada, Kakinada Divn., AP, Flat No.S-2,
35-5-15/2, Grandhi Residency, Rajaji Street, Kakinada 533 001, AP. ...Applicant
(By Advocate : Mr.N.V.Subbaarayudu)

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep by it's Secretary, Govt., of India,
M/o Communications, N.Delhi-110 001.

2.The Chief Postmaster General, A.P. Circle, Vijayawada-520 013.

3.The Postmaster General, Visakhapatnam Region, Visakhapatnam.

4.The Supdt of Post Offices, Kakinada Postal Divn, Kakinada. ...Respondents

(By Advocate:Mr.D.Laxmi Narayana Rao, Sr.PC for CG)

ORDER

(Pronounced by Hon'ble Smt.Lata Baswaraj Patne, Member(J))

In all these Original Applications, the applicants sought similar relief. At the request of the counsel for applicants, OA No.317/2018 is taken as lead case and the prayer sought therein is as follows :

“In view of the facts stated above, the Applicant humbly prays that this Hon’ble Tribunal may be pleased to call for the records pertaining to the impugned Orders No.B1-4/RTPPAS/2018, dated 21.02.2018 and declare the same as illegal, arbitrary and against the rules and principles of natural justice and in violation of the Article-14 and 21 of the Constitution of India, set-aside and quash the said illegal orders and be pleased

- 1) To declare that the applicant is entitled to be accommodated against the regular vacancies that arose in the same/next recruiting of year subsequent to her selection as Reserved Trained Pool Postal Assistant as directed by this Hon’ble Tribunal in Order dated 15.04.2015 passed following the Orders of Hon’ble CAT Jabbalpur and Bombay (Nagpur) and direct the respondents to grant her regular absorption with effect from the date of the regular vacancies that arose in the same/next recruiting years subsequent to her selection as RTP PA.
- 2) To direct the respondents to regularise and count the service rendered by the applicant and Reserved Trained Pool from the date of her initial appointment as Postal Assistant 25.06.1982 as was implemented by the respondents in the case of applicants in Annexure-IX order.
- 3) To direct the respondents to count the service of the applicant rendered as RTP PA from 25.06.1982 for the purpose of granting the financial upgradation under the Time Bound One Promotion Scheme and Modified Assured Career Progression Scheme, Pension etc.

And pass such other order or orders as the Hon’ble Tribunal deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice.“

All the matters are clubbed together, even though some matters are admitted and some matters are coming for admission, as the issue in respect of claim of all the applicants pertains to RTP service rendered by the applicants prior to their absorption.

2. According to the applicant (in OA No.317/2018), she has joined the RTP/PA on 25.06.1982. The applicant continuously worked as Postal Asst from 25.06.1982 as short duty/RTP PA till she was appointed on regular basis on 27.04.1989 without any break. Thereafter, she worked in various capacities and finally retired on 28.02.2018 on superannuation.

3. On notice, the Respondents have filed reply statement stating that applicant was selectesd and appointed as RTP PA on 25.06.1982 and on her willingness only, she was later absorbed / regularized as PA with effect from 01.05.1989 as per the scheme and guidelines on the subject. All consequential benefits such as financial upgradations, etc were also granted to the applicnt on the basis of the said regular appointment only as per relevant rules on the subject. Therefore, the contention of the applicnt that she has completed 36 years of service (including the RTP service) and that the Respondents have denied 3rd Financial Upgradation under MACP is not valid. Similarly in all other connected Original Applications also, the applicants therein were denied the benefit of counting of RTP service as per the rules.

4. Counsel on both sides have argued the matters and submitted the copies of judgments relied upon by them.

5. Heard counsel on both sides, perused the record and judgments cited by both sides.

6. Learned counsel for the applicant in OA No.317 of 2018 (lead case) has been allowed to argue / lead the case and his arguments have been taken

into consideration on behalf of all other Advocates as per their consent and according to them, the issue involved in the matter is no more res-integra and is squarely covered by the orders passed by this Tribunal, followed with the orders of the Jabalpur Bench and other Co-ordinate Benches of this Tribunal, which were confirmed by the Hon'ble High Courts as well as upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and that the Respondents have implemented the said orders in the matter of those applicants. The learned counsel for the applicant further contended that it is not in dispute that the applicants had been enrolled as Members to the RTP and they have been discharging their duties continuously, from 1982 onwards till regularization,. The applicants' counsel further contended that, when the Respondents themselves have withdrawn the scheme in 1986, suo-motu they have issued the said order of absorption to the applicants though certain conditions were imposed in the appointment letters of absorption, which are to be treated as general conditions. According to the learned counsel for the applicants, the applicants have worked in the office of the Respondents, till the date of retirement, in continuation to RTP service. Therefore, the Respondents cannot deny the benefit of regularization of their services prior to absorption rendered as RTP, being similarly situated with those persons whose services were regularized by virtue of Court orders and extended all the benefits. Therefore, the said discrimination and in-equality has not been justified by the Respondents. Learned counsel for the applicants further contended that none of the orders which were ordered in favour of the RTPs absorbees have been interfered by the higher courts and they attained finality on its implementation.

7. On the other hand, the learned counsel for the Respondents

Mrs.K.Rajitha, who has taken lead in the matter on behalf of all the other counsels representing Respondents departments, argued on the point that the cases of the applicants have to be dismissed at the threshold on the ground of delay and laches. None of the applicants have raised any grievances when they have been issued the appointment letters on absorption. As per the terms and conditions of the appointment letter their services have been counted from the date of absorption and subsequently, consequential benefits like promotion, financial upgradations were granted, which they have accepted. Thus, not only they have accepted the terms and conditions of the appointment letter, subsequently, for further consequential benefits like promotion, financial upgradations, etc., their services have been counted from the date of absorption only. Thereby, they have been granted financial upgradations like TBOP/MACP scheme. Further, the applicants, who have retired from service, their pension has been fixed by taking into consideration their last drawn pay and the applicants have accepted all the settlements related to the pension without any protest and after enjoying all the benefits, referring to some orders, they have submitted their representations to count the RTP service without specifying their period and place of their RTP service, seeking the benefit right from the joining as RTP. All these records are of more than 30/35 years old and they have already been destroyed. Since they were not on regular pay roll, there is no need to maintain such record. Once they have been appointed regularly, their service particulars have been recorded in the Service Register from the date of their absorption on regular basis.

8. The learned counsel for the respondents further argued on the point that when the Respondents department had withdrawn their policy way back

in the year 1986, some of the RTP employees have approached the Co-Ordinate Benches, thereby this Tribunal was directed to frame the policy for their absorption / regularisation, followed with the said RTP service. The Tribunal observed that withdrawal of the said Scheme abruptly will create unrest among the employees, who are working as RTPs and waiting for their turn for absorption. The matter went upto Hon'ble Supreme Court and as per the direction of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, Respondents have created supernumerary posts and accordingly, the said absorption was affected in the cases of RTP employees. Further the employees in the said cases who had been absorbed, have challenged their absorption orders immediately by giving details, claiming the benefit of service prior to absorption on RTP. Considering the facts on record, court has granted some relief to them and the Respondents also implemented the orders. In another round of litigation, another set of employees have approached the Tribunal seeking the relief to count their RTP service for all other benefits including to appear for the LDCE examination, though the Tribunals and Hon'ble High Courts held in their favour. The Department has challenged the said order before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. While considering the issue of counting of their RTP service prior, to absorption as they also claimed parity with Casual Labourers, the Hon'ble Supreme Court considered the issue in depth and set aside the orders of the Courts below with an observation that the RTP Scheme is different from that of the scheme framed for regularization of Casual Labourers. When the conditions of absorption were not objected and without challenge to their absorption orders, they are not eligible for another benefit sought for against their RTP service, followed with the absorption. Even otherwise, the service rendered as RTP will not make them eligible to appear for the Limited Departmental Competitive Examination

conducted by the Department. The employees who have entered into service as regular employees and the employees followed with the Recruitment Rules under the RTP, who have been engaged against a likely post and not on regular post and not against the sanctioned strength, cannot be the same. Otherwise, the right of the regular employee, appointed as per the Recruitment Rules will be deprived. Without taking into consideration of the observations of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, different orders were passed. Ignoring the observations of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the Tribunals have passed the orders superseding the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Though the Respondents department have implemented, but subsequently, when the orders of the Madras Bench of the CAT passed in the similar footing, have been challenged before the Hon'ble High court in WP No.13633 of 2020, the Hon'ble High Court of Madras has considered the point of delay/laches and also considered the point of discrimination and equality with similarly situated employees and by detailed order dated 24.01.2023, has set aside the orders passed by the Madras Bench of CAT and even thereafter, in another set of Writ Petition No.1373/2021, vide order dated 04.11.2024, the Hon'ble High Court of Madras again set aside the orders of the Tribunal.

10. The Respondents counsel also contends that the applicants have never raised objection/grievance soon after the absorption for counting of RTP service. Further, while accepting the promotion or financial upgradation, when the Respondents have considered from the date of absorption, the same was also not objected by any of the applicant.

11. Learned counsel for the Respondents further argued that, as held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in various matters even in the cases of similarly

situated persons, it has not been considered on merit and to put the financial burden upon the ex-chequer funds.

12. We have perused the order dated 24.01.2023 in Writ Petition No.13633/2020 by the Hon'ble High Court of Madras, wherein the contentions of the learned Additional Solicitor General has been recorded, which read thus :

i. The Apex Court in Civil Appeal No.5268/97 @ SLP [C] No.17422/95, Civil Appeal Nos 126/96, 124-125/96, 127-130/96 & 131/96 (Union of India Vs. K.N.Sivadas and others) held that any service, which was rendered prior to the regular appointment to the cadre, cannot count for this rule because it cannot be considered as service in eligible cadre. This ruling was in the context of awarding of financial benefits and TBOP scheme, for which, the eligibility was 16 years regular service in a cadre.

ii. The ban on any recruitent was an administrative decision by the Government of Indida and was not challenged by the present respondents in any court of law. Furthermore, it was contended that many of the respondents were given the benefits of TBOP after completion of 16 years of regular service.

iii. A bare perusal of the year of filing of the O.As wold show that the respondents have staked their claim after more than 25 years for which no reason has been assigned.

iv. He also pointed out that the Supreme Court has made a clear differentiation between Casual Labourers and RTPs. According to Apex Court decision in *Union of India Vs. K.N.Sivadas and other*, the scheme for RTPs provided for an assurance that they would be accommodated in future vacancies as regular employees while that was not the case with the Casual Labourers and therefore, the RTPs cannot, on the one hand, avail the benefit of absorption in regular service because of their own scheme and at the same time, on the other hand, claim additional benefits.

v. According to him, the order of Central Administrative Tribunal, Ernaklam Bench, cannot be replicated in Chennai due to various factors. The implementation of such an order would result in total chaos and confusion in searching and reconstructing the records. One such direction in the said O.A in Central Administrative Tribunal, Ernakulam is to work out the vacancies that arose from 1984 onwards during the period when there was a ban on recruitment and thereafter filling it up year-wise on merits by accommodating notionally the RTP candidates. Such orders though confirmed by the High Court of Kerala, are likely to have serious repercussions in any organisation.

vi. Therefore, the learned Additional Solicitor General contended that the order of Central Administrative Tribunal, Chennai Bench, relying upon the decision of Central Administrative Tribunal, Ernakulam Bench, was totally perverse and liable to be dismissed.

vii. In order to substantiate his contentions he relied on the decision in *Khoday Distilleries Limited and other vs. Sri Mahadeshwara Sahakara Sakkare Karkahane Limited*, Kollegal reported in [2019 (4) SCC 376] and contended that the dismissal of the SLP at the admission stage by way of a non speaking order implies that the Apex Court was not inclined to exercise its discretion to allow the appeal being filed. In other words, the senior counsel opined that such an order refusing Special Leave to appeal does not stand substituted in the place of the order under challenge. Therefore, according to him, the Apex Court has not confirmed the decision of the Kerala High Court.

viii. He had also relied on the decision in *Union of India and others vs. C.Girija and others (Civil Appeal No.1577 of 2019)* decided on 13.02/2019 by Hon'ble Apex Court in which it is held that :

12. This Court had occasion to consider the question of cause of action in reference to grievances pertaining to service matters. This Court in C.Jacob Vs. Director of Geology and Mining and Another, [2008 (10) SCC 115] had occasion to consider the case where an employee was terminated and after decades, he filed a representation, which was decided. After decision of the representation, he filed an O.A in the Tribunal, which was entertained and order was passed. In the above context, in paragraph No.9, following has been held :-

“9. The courts/Tribunals proceed on the assumption, that every citizen deserves a reply to his representation. Secondly, they assume that a mere direction to consider and dispose of the representation does not involve any decision on rights and obligations of parties. Little do they realise the consequences of such a direction to consider. If the representation is considered and accepted, the ex-employee gets a relief, which he would not have not o account of the long delay, all by reason of the direction to consider. If the representation is considered and rejected, the ex-emploee files an application/writ petitin, not with reference to the original cause of action of 1982, but by treating the rejection of the representation given in 2000, as the cause of action. A prayer is made for quashing the rejection of representation and for grant of the relief claimed in the representation. The Tribunals/High Courts routinely entertain such applications/petitions ignoring the huge delay preceding the representation. and proceed to examine the claim on merits and grant relief. In this manner, the bar of limitation or the laches gets obliterated or ignored.

13. This Court agan in the case of Union of India and Others Vs. M.K.Sarkar, [2010 (2) SCC 59] on belated

representtton laid down following, which is extracted below :-

15. When a belated representation in regard to a “stale” or “dead” issue/dispute is considered and decided, in compliance with a direction by the court/Tribunal to do so, the date of such decision cannot be considered as furnishing a fresh cause of action for reviving the “dead” issue or time-barred dispute. The issue of limitation or delay and laches should be considered with reference to the original cause of action and not with reference to the date on which an order is passed in compliance with a court’s direction. Neither a court’s direction to consider a representation issued without examining the merits, nor a decision given in compliance with such direction, will extend the limitation, or erase the delay and laches.“

14. Again, this Court in State of Uttaranchal and Another Vs. Shiv Charan Singh Bhandari and Others, (2013) 12 SCC 179 had occasion to consider question of delay in challenging the promotion. The Court further held that representations relating to a stale claim or dead grievance does not give rise to a fresh following was laid down :-

“19. From the aforesaid authorities it is clear as crystal that even if the court or Tribunal directs for consideration of representations relating to a stale claim or dead grievance it does not give rise to a fresh cause of action. The dead cause of action cannot rise like a phoenix.

Similarly, a mere submission of representation to the competent authority does not arrest time.

23. In State of T.N v. Seshachalam, (2007) 10 SCC 137, this Court, testing the equality clause on the bedrock of delay and laches pertaining to grant of service benefit, has ruled thus (SCC p.145, para 16)

16. ...filing of representations alone would not save the period of limitation. Delay or laches is a relevant factor for a court of law to determine the question as to whether the claim made by an applicant deserves consideration. Delay and/or laches on the part of a government servant may deprive him of the benefit which had been given to others. Article 14 of the Constitution of India would not, in a situation of that nature, be attracted as it is well known that law leans in favour of those who are alert and vigilant.

.....

16. On the preposition as noticed above, it is clear that the claim of the applicant for inclusion of her name in the panel, which was issued on 09.01.2001 and for the first time was raked up by her, by filing representation on 25.09.2007, i.e., after more than 06 and half years. The claim of inclusion in the panel had become stale by that

time and filing of representations will not give any fresh cause of action. Thus, mere fact that representation was replied by Railways on 27.12.2007, a stale claim shall not become a live claim. Both Tribunal and High Court did not advert to this important aspect of the matter. It is further to be noted from the material on record that after declaration of panel on 09.01.2001, there were further selection under 30% promotion by LDCE quota, in which the applicant participated. In selection held in 2005 she participated and was declared unsuccessful. With regard to her non-inclusion in panel in 2005 selection, she also filed O.A.No.629 of 2006 before the Tribunal, which was dismissed. After participating in subsequent selections under 30% quota and being declared unsuccessful, by mere filing representation on 27.09.2007 with regard to selection made in 2001, the delay and laches shall not be wiped out.

13. It is also to be noted that, when the issue in respect of counting the experience from the RTP service after absorption for appearing to the LDCE, again reached the Hon'ble Supreme Court after the orders of the Tribunal and Hon'ble High Courts in favour of the applicants and the Hon'ble Supreme Court in CA Nos 5268/1997, after considering all the relevant facts and history of absorption of RTPs, have observed thus :

8. The ban on recruitment was Pan India and was not something on which the petitioners have any role to play. It was the policy of the Government of Administrative reasons. It is also pertinent to refer at this juncture to the relevant portions of the judgment in Union of India & Another Vs. K.N.Sivadas & Others in Civil Appeal No.5268/97 @ SLP[C] No.17422/95}, Civil Appeal Nos 126/96, 124-125/96, 127-130/96 & 131/96

The position of RTPs is quite different. In the first place, the very scheme which constituted RTPs provided for their absorption as regular employees. With this in mind, they were also given the same training as regular employees. They were required in the meantime, to carry out short-term duties or to handle peak hour traffic on an hourly wage basis. However, there was clear assurance in the scheme that they would be accommodated in future vacancies as regular employees in the manner set out in the scheme. We are informed that there was backlog in absorption because of a ban on recruitment during certain years. All the RP employees have been absorbed as regular employees by 1990. Some of the respondents who are before us have been absorbed much earlier, in the year 1988. Therefore, they are in a much better position than casual

labourers and are now enjoying all the benefits of regular employment. Their claim relates to the period prior to their absorption. The entire period in effect, is either prior to 1988, or in the case of some of the respondents prior to January 1990. The benefits which they claim are the benefits which have been conferred on casual labourers only after 29.11.1989. The respondents, however, are claiming these benefits for earlier periods (In respect of those respondents who were absorbed in January 1990, their continuation as RTPs after 29.11.1989 is only of two months duration). In other words, RTPs are claiming benefits for a period for which a similar benefit has not been conferred on casual labourers under the Casual Labourers (Grant of Temporary Status and Regularisation) Scheme.

The Tribunal, in our view, has erred in equating RTPs with casual labourers. The position of these two categories of employees is very different as we have already set out. The Tribunal has also erred in assuming that casual labourers are getting these benefits during the period for which the RTPs are claiming these benefits. RTPs have already obtained the benefit of absorption in regular service because of their own scheme. They, therefore, cannot, on the one hand, avail of their own special scheme and at the same time, claim additional benefits on the basis of what has been given to the casual labourers. This is unwarranted, especially as the period for which they claim these benefits is the period during which such benefits were not available to casual labourers.

In C.A.Nos.127-130 of 1996 the RTPs who have been regularly absorbed in the year 1988 have been given the benefit of counting their service as RTPs for the purpose of their eligibility to appear for the departmental examination. The relevant rule provides that the candidates „must have put in at least 5 years continuous satisfactory service in one or more eligible cadres. Any service which was rendered prior to regular appointment in the cadre, cannot count for the purpose of this rule because it cannot be considered as service in any eligible cadre. The Tribunal was, therefore, wrong in granting to RTPs the benefit of service rendered by them prior to their regular appointment, for the purpose of their eligibility to appear for the departmental promotion examination.“

It is also to be noted that when another set of employees approached the Chennai Bench of CAT in the year 1996 and 2010, the Co-ordinate Bench of Chennai Bench, without considering the observations of the Hon'ble Apex Court in CA No.5268/1997 have passed the order in favour of the applicants. Thereby, the other similarly situated employees when approached the various Tribunals,

including this Tribunal, orders were passed without considering the merit, on the footing of the similarly situated employee / in favour of the applicants. It is also to be noted that, one of the orders passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Telangana has been appealed by the Department and has been heard by the Full Bench of Hon'ble Apex Court [*Union of India Vs. V.Ravi Krishna & Ors etc.; SLP(C)Diary No.1868/2024*] . Full Bench of 3 Judges, though declined to interfere with the order, made it clear that the law is kept open. It is to be noted that inspite of the various litigations and the orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in limine dismissing the petitions of the Department in *SLP (Civil) Diary No.1868/2024* has ordered "law is kept open".

13. According to the learned counsel for the applicant, in respect of delay and laches, in the matter of pay and pension, it is a continuous cause of action. It is to be noted that, as admitted by the applicants that, they have neither raised any objection on the terms and conditions for their absorption nor at the time of accepting the retiral / terminal benefits. All the benefits were accepted without protest and the retiral benefits were settled. It is to be noted that following with the case of similarly situated employee, co-ordinate Bench at Chennai has extended the same benefit. However, the Hon'ble High Court of Chennai, while going into the depth and considering all the aspects, have set aside the order of co-ordinate Bench of Chennai by their detailed order, which reads thus :

It is also to be noted that in another round of litigation, the Chennai Bench of CAT has negated while relying upon the earlier orders.

14. It is to be noted that the applicants have submitted their first representations in the years 2017/2018 without quoting the particulars of service and just claiming parity without quoting any rule of law. While setting aside the orders of the Court below, the Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the order of *Union of India Vs. K.N.Sivadas*, vide its judgment dated 01.08.1997, on the submission of the Respondents Department, who were appellant therein who have fairly admitted, that the orders in another two OAs were not challenged in the appeal, still the Hon'ble Supreme Court observed that it should not be automatically applicable to all RTPs. The Hon'ble Supreme Court on the issue of similarly situated, when orders were not challenged by the Department and implemented, held that it will not be made applicable to all RTPs and referring to the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court [1995 (4) SCC] to the effect, inter alia that non availing of provision of appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court by the State cannot operate as fetter in this Court. As regards to similar matter, when the Court find that the relief that was ordered was wrong and if such relief may continue to be granted to those who may come before the Forum it will become financial loss to the State. Thus, court found that relief which was granted by the CAT was looked into , comparing with the service conditions and relief of the employees must be observed within the ambit and extent of the Rules, not beyond that. Despite this observation of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the orders passed in earlier round of litigation are contradictory to this observation and are per incuriam.

15. It is also to be noted that, while considering the issue, the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in its WP No.13633/2020 and Batch, referring to the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Union of India Vs.*

K.N.Sivadas and Others strictly in adherence of the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the said SLP, following with the various other orders, on the issue of cause of action, delay and laches, basing on the equality, benefits pertaining to grant of service benefits have passed detailed order, while setting aside the various orders passed by the co-ordinate bench of Chennai in different cases passed the following order :

12. In view of the foregoing decision, it could be easily concluded that the decisions in all the OAs have been arrived at by the decision of Central Administrative Tribunal, Ernakulam Bench which was confirmed later by Kerala High Court. All the orders of Central Administrative Tribunal, Chennai, suffer from lack of application of mind on their part as they have not gone into merits and demerits of such an unprecedented decision. The delay of more than 3 decades would have resulted in many retirements on superannuation amongst the respondents and such a massive exercise of searching the records and arriving at even the minute details like break in service etc. is just next to impossible that too when the demands of the respondents are totally unethical and unreasonable.

13. In the result, all the Writ Petitions are allowed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed. The orders of Central Administrative Tribunal, Chennai Bench, passed in OA No.1149 of 2014, dated 27.06.2019, OA No.1691 of 2016, dated 20.08.2019, OA No.1240 of 2014, dated 27.06.2019 and OA No.1148 of 2014 dated 12.07.2019, are set aside.

16. It is also to be noted that, as held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *State of Tamil Nadu Vs. Seshachalam [2007 (10) SCC 137]* that merely by sending representations, the petitioners cannot save the limitation. Speaking for the Bench, Sri S.B.Sinha, J. in para 11 observed as follows :

11. Some of the respondents might have filed representations but filing of representations alone would not save the period of limitation. Delay or laches is a relevant factor for a court of law to determine the question as to whether the claim made by an applicant deserves consideration. Delay and / or laches on the part of a Government servant may deprive him of the benefit, which had been given to others. Article 14 of the Constitution of India would not, in a

situation of that nature, be attracted as it is well known that law leans in favour of those who are alert and vigilant.

Referring to the earlier judgment, another set of orders by the Co-ordinate Bench of Chennai came to be set aside.

17. It is to be noted that the applicants have not raised their grievance or objection and have accepted the benefits as and when the same were granted either in promotion or financial upgradation or on their retirement without any protest and at no point of time either before their retirement or before their accepting promotion / financial upgradation raised their grievances or objections.

18. Therefore, their claims are hit by law of limitation and laches and they are not entitled to plead that right to receive the pension is continuous cause of action, without challenging the scheme of absorption and terms and conditions of absorption/regularization and only relying upon the orders on similar footing which were not based on the Rules vis-a-vis erstwhile scheme of absorption and without considering the merit of the matter.

19. Therefore, in our considered view, the applicants' claim is stale and the applicants, who are fence sitters, when they have accepted the terms and conditions at the time of absorption, without being challenged when cause of action arose, it cannot be said that these are general conditions. As such, issue involved in the matter is no more res-integra and the same is squarely covered by the order of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras passed in WP No.13633 of 2020 and WMP Nos.16929 of 2020 and connected matters, followed with the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.5268/1997 @ SLP [C] No.17422/95, Civil Appeal Nos.126/96, 124-125/96 127-130/96 & 131/96 and SLP (Civil) No.1868/2024. Therefore, we

do not find any merit in the matter. All the O.As are accordingly dismissed.

20. No order as to costs.

(Shalini Misra)
Member(A)

(Lata Baswaraj Patne)
Member(J)

27.02.2025

v1

It is also not in dispute that in the year 1986, said Scheme was withdrawn. Subsequently, the challenge has been made by the employees who were at the verge of absorption. Jabalpur Bench of CAT observed thus :-

....

Matter has reached the Hon'ble Supreme Court and with the intervention of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the Respondents department has taken the policy decision, wherein it has been held thus :

(Shalini Misra)
Member(A)

(Lata Baswaraj Patne)
Member(J)

27.02.2025

vl

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
CHENNAI BENCH
OA/310/00342/2020

Date of order: .01.2024

APPLICANT(S)/
PETITIONER(S)

M/s.

ADVOCATE(S) FOR THE
APPLICANT

-VERSUS-

RESPONDENT(S)

ADVOCATE(S) FOR THE
RESPONDENTS

CORAM:

**HON'BLE SMT. LATA BASWARAJ PATNE, JUDICIAL MEMBER
&
HON'BLE MR.VARUN SINDHU KUL KAUMUDI, MEMBER(A)**

- | | | |
|----|--|--------|
| 1. | Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the Judgment? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether to be referred to the reporter or not? | Yes/No |
| 3. | Whether the Judgment is to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal? | Yes/No |

Judgment pronounced by

(LATA BASWARAJ PATNE)
Judicial Member

The learned Senior Panel Counsel for the Respondents further submits that all the retired applicants have accepted all the settlements related to the pension without any protest and after enjoying all the benefits. Referring to the various court orders have submitted their representations i.e. without specifying